I so no adverse effect to limiting the 18 month rule to existing matters because:

1. The 18 month rule is specifically for that purpose (existing matters).

2. The 18 month rule makes no sense when applied to just about anything a business sells.

3. The 18 month rule is intended to be an "exception" to the rule. If businesses don't like the "exception", they need not rely on it.

- 4. Businesses who wish to call for irrelevant matters can do so by: a) avoiding victims on the Do Not Call list; and
  - b) obtaining prior consent from their victims.

(I use a NJ residental telephone in New Jersey extensively.)